metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yi-Ping Tong,^a Xiao-Ming Chen^a and Seik Weng Ng^{a,b}*

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.115 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis[μ -2-benz(oxa/thia)zolyl-2-phenolato- $\kappa^3 N$,O:O]bis{bis[2-benz(oxa/thia)zolyl-2-phenolato- $\kappa^2 N$,O]zinc(II)}

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Zn_2(C_{13}H_4NO_{1.67}S_{0.33})_4]$, the ring O atom in the benz-(oxa/thia)zolyl-2-phenolate anions is disordered with respect to the S atom. The anions chelate to the Zn atom; the phenoxy O atom of two of them is also bridged to an adjacent molecule so that the geometry of the Zn atom in the centrosymmetric dimer is a trigonal bipyramid.

Received 5 January 2004 Accepted 7 January 2004 Online 17 January 2004

Comment

Bis(2-benzthiazolyl-2-phenolato)zinc is an excellent electroluminescent compound that can be used in light-emitting diodes; its crystal structure has been recently determined in order to relate the observed properties with the threedimensional arrangement of atoms in the solid state (Yu et al., 2003). The deprotonated organic reagent chelates to a number of metal atoms, and the structure of several 2-benzthiazolyl-2phenolates have been reported; the anion binds through the phenoxy O and the thiazolyl N atoms to furnish a sixmembered chelate ring. The structure of the reagent has been known for more than 30 years (Stenson, 1970). This compound and the oxazolyl analog are commercially available; however, the structure of 2-benzoxazolyl-2-phenol has not been determined and an aluminium derivative, (C₁₃H₈NO₂)₄(OH₂)Al₂, appears to have been crystallographically verified (Hoveyda et al., 1993). That of the 4-methyl-substituted complex with Mn^{III} has also been reported (Asada et al., 1999).



We had thought of synthesizing the mixed-ligand zinc complex, $(C_{13}H_8NO_2)(C_{13}H_8NOS)Zn$, in order to examine its electronic structure, but the mixed system we obtained was a compound in which the O and S atoms in both chelating groups are statistically disordered. The refinement yielded a formula that approximated a 2:1 ratio of O to S in each anion, so that the compound can be alternatively regarded as the cocrystal $[(C_{13}H_8NO_2)_2Zn]_2 \cdot [(C_{13}H_8NOS)_2Zn],$ (I). The

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



compound exists as a dimer (Fig. 1), and the anions chelate to the Zn atom: the O atom of one of them is linked to the Zn atom of another molecule across a centre of symmetry, rendering the metal atom five-coordinate in a trans-trigonal bipyramidal environment. The bond dimensions involving the Zn atom are similar to those (Yu et al., 2003) found in $(C_{13}H_8NOS)_2Zn$, but the two compounds are not isomorphous.

Experimental

Zinc acetate dihydrate (0.11 g, 0.5 mmol), 2-(benzthiazol-2-yl)phenol (0.11 g, 0.5 mmol) (Acros), 2-(benzoxazol-2-yl)phenol (0.11 g, 0.5 mol) (Aldrich), water (10 ml) were placed in a 23 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel Parr bomb. The bomb was heated at 443 K for 96 h, after which it was cooled to room temperature at 5 K h^{-1} to give crystals. Elemental analysis calculated for C₅₂H₃₂N₄O_{6.67}S_{1.33}Zn₂: C 62.90, H 3.25, N 5.64%; found: C 62.51, H 3.32, N 5.57%. Measurements performed on several specimens all gave the same structure, i.e. the cocrystal; neither bis(2-benzoxazolyl-2-phenolato)zinc nor bis(2-benzthiazolyl-2-phenolato)zinc could be found among the crystals.

 $R_{\rm int}=0.021$

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.3^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

4180 independent reflections

3423 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

$[Zn_2(C_{13}H_4NO_{1.67}S_{0.33})_4]$	Z = 1
$M_r = 992.96$	$D_x = 1.576 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.5356(7) Å	Cell parameters from 3074
b = 9.6262 (7) Å	reflections
c = 11.6413 (8) Å	$\theta = 2.6-24.6^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 81.701 \ (1)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 1.28 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 82.397 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$\gamma = 85.453 \ (1)^{\circ}$	Block, green-yellow
$V = 1046.1 (1) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.23\times0.10\times0.09~\text{mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX area-
detector diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min} = 0.749, T_{\max} = 0.888$
8361 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0714P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.115$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.99	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
4180 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.71 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
304 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O3	2.090 (2)	Zn1-N1	2.119 (3)
Zn1-O3 ⁱ	2.002 (2)	Zn1-N2	2.068 (3)
Zn1-O4	1.967 (2)		
O3-Zn1-O3 ⁱ	77.4 (1)	O3 ⁱ -Zn1-N1	118.2 (1)
O3-Zn1-O4	174.7 (1)	O3 ⁱ -Zn1-N2	119.2 (1)
O3-Zn1-N1	82.9 (1)	O4-Zn1-N1	94.9 (1)
O3-Zn1-N2	97.1 (1)	O4-Zn1-N2	88.2 (1)
$O3^i - Zn1 - O4$	99.5 (1)	N1-Zn1-N2	121.0 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

The title crystal is a cocrystal having the formulation (C₆H₄NCX- $C_6H_4O_2Zn$ where $X = (O_x, S_{1-x})$; for both independent C_6H_4NCX -C₆H₄O anions, the O atom is disordered with respect to the S atom



Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I) at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii. Minor component S atoms are shown as dashed ellipses.

although the C atoms connected to them are not disordered. As such, when the pair of S-C distances was restrained to a fixed value, the corresponding pair of O-C distances was instead restrained to equal each other. The S-C distance was satisfactorily restrained to 1.750 (5) Å; the use of a small deviation led to four distances that were somewhat similar to each other. The resulting bond dimensions in the group are considered to be reasonable when compared with the bond dimensions found in the parent 2-benzthiazolyl-2-phenol (Aydin et al., 1999; Stenson, 1970), as well as those found in bis(2benzthiazolyl-2-phenolato)zinc (Yu et al., 2003). The O-C distances were retrained to be approximately equal. The resulting bond dimensions of the benzoxazolyl unit are also regarded as being acceptable when compared with those found in, for example, 5-chloro-2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)benzoxazole (Mrozek et al., 1999); the structure of the parent substituted phenol is not known and the bond dimensions for the closely related 2-phenylbenzoxazole (Rao & Murthy, 1966) are unavailable. The displacement parameters of the disordered atoms in each group were constrained to be equal to each other; additionally, the displacement parameters were restrained to be approximately isotropic. As the occupancy factors of both S atoms refined to almost 0.33, the value of X in the C₆H₄NCX-C₆H₄O entities was then assumed to be 2/3, *i.e.* $X = \frac{1}{3}S + \frac{2}{3}O$. The formula from the refinement is in excellent agreement with the elemental analysis. H atoms were placed at calculated positions in the riding-model approximation (C–H = 0.93 Å) with their displacement parameters tied to those of the parent atoms; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics:

metal-organic papers

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20131020), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 036601) and the University of Malaya for the generous support of this work.

References

- Asada, H., Ozeki, M., Fujiwara, M. & Matsushita, T. (1999). Chem. Lett. pp. 525–526.
- Aydin, A., Soylu, H., Akkurt, M., Arici, C. & Erdemir, M. (1999). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 214, 529-530.

- Bruker (2001). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Hoveyda, H. R., Rettig, S. R., & Orving, C. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 4909–4913.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mrozek, A., Trzezwińska, H., Karolak-Wojciechwska, J., Yalcin, I. & Sener, I. (1999). Pol. J. Chem. 73, 625–634.
- Rao, P. V. & Murthy, K. S. (1966). Curr. Sci. 35, 616–617; Chem. Abstr. 66, 69732v.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stenson, P. (1970). Acta Chem. Scand. 24, 3729-3738.
- Yu, G., Yin, S., Liu, Y., Shuai, Z. & Zhu, D. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 14816–14824.